



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJAST_4666
Title of the Manuscript:	Seasonal variation in heavy metal content of sole, <i>Solea solea</i> and croaker, <i>Pseudotolithus typus</i> from Lagos and Delta states, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	- It's better to explain more about each metal and its harmful effects. - Introduction is so weak! You must explain all dimension of your work in introduction part, like species and etc. - Recommendation for the map, it's better to locate sampling area. - It is recommendable to rebuild the "material and methods", mainly concerning the first item: Samples collection. - You have to add error bars to the graphs. - Different sources should be indicated in discussion.	- Each metal and its harmful effects has been discussed appropriately. - Introduction has been revised. - Sampling areas have been indicated on a new map provided. - The materials and methods section has been revised in line with Reviewer's comments. - Figures have been replaced with tables of mean values including errors. - The Discussion has been reviewed accordingly
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	This manuscript requires major revision.	